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Abstract - The Construction projects, especially the highway construction projects, use huge amount of resources on and off the field in various forms of resources viz., materials, plants, equipment and human resources along with money, time and space. The uniqueness of the projects makes the resource planning a tedious job as the efficiency of each resource depends upon a huge number of working condition factors. A detailed study of resource planning will help in better monitoring and overall controlling of the project. In highway projects, the same resource is often used for different activities and the productivity of that resource being different for different activities, it becomes inevitable to know the correct norms for correct estimation, planning and monitoring.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Construction activity is an integral part of a country’s infrastructure and industrial development which includes hospitals, schools, offices, houses and other buildings; urban infrastructure; highways, ports, railways, airports etc. Construction becomes the basic input for socio-economic development. Besides the construction industry generates employment and provides growth to other sectors through linkages. It is, therefore essential, that, this vital activity is nurtured for healthy growth of economy.

1.1 Indian Context

Construction is an important part of the industrial sector and one of the core sectors in India’s economy. It is estimated that construction spending is expected to increase to US$370 billion by the end of 2013, with residential totaling US$63 billion and non-residential registering US$307 billion. The construction sector has increased its share of India’s total employment from 2.8% in 1983 to 5.4% in 2003-04. The sector accounts for about 38% of gross investment and about 45% of total infrastructure cost. And the average expenditure involved in the construction was shown as a pie chart in the Fig 1.1.

Fig 1.1 Average Expenditure in Construction

1.2 Choice of Technology and Construction Method

Many of techniques and materials used for construction are essentially unchanged since the introduction of mechanization in the early part of twentieth century. For example at the time of highway construction at the beginning of the nineteenth century most of the highway project report stated that “the work could not have been done any faster or more efficiently in our days, despite all technological and mechanical advances in the time, since the reason being that no present system could possibly carry the spoil material away any faster or more efficiently then the system employed. No motor trucks were in the digging of soil everything ran on the rails foe cutting and filling of soil at different chainage to reduce the wastage of human resources and achieve maximum productivity. And because of quantity of soil and rain, no other methods have work so well to achieve the desired output.

In contrast to this view of one large project, it may also point to the continuous change an Improvement occurring in traditional material and technique. This continuous improvement in techniques help to plan and distribute the resources as per the requirement and efficient distribution of all these resources helps in close monitoring and actual progress of the work which includes resources like man, material, machinery and money with respect to their productivity which is very crucial in scheduling.

1.3 Problem Identification

It is quite evident that construction industry is prominent in India and at the same time, resources play an important role in any construction activity.
Considering the significance of this sector, it is necessary to identify the major issues affecting the efficiency of the sector and take corrective action. There is a need to enhance productivity through appropriate mechanization to meet physical targets. There is a clear case for encouraging mechanization to build up the sector's capacity to deliver the critical infrastructure needed for the economic development. Hence there is a need to utilize the resources effectively.

Construction schedules generated by network scheduling techniques often need to be modified in order to reduce significant fluctuations in resource utilization levels over the project duration. The resource fluctuations are impractical, inefficient and costly to implement on construction sites, as they

- Create difficulties in attracting and keeping top-quality workers if stable employment is not guaranteed;
- Require the hiring and releasing of workers on a short term basis.

In order to complete a project without any risks related to resources proper resource planning is essential.

1.4 Objectives
The main objectives of this work is to plan the main resources (i.e. the equipment, plants and manpower) deployed at a highway project by using Microsoft Soft Project Software.

- To investigate issues pertaining in highway projects.
- Scheduling the activities in the project.
- To plan resources by understanding productivity of each of the resource and its use for a particular activity using Microsoft project.
- To optimize cost of the project by levelling the resources
- Using MSP software resources are planned, scheduled, allocated and then crashed.

1.5 Scope of Study
In order to optimize the cost and duration in construction projects resource optimization is required. This may be used for the expansion of M.G Road from Patamata to Machilipatnam (NH-9).

II. METHODOLOGY AND CASE STUDY
This chapter explains about the methodology of the study and the details about the case study done.

3.1 Methodology
From the basic drawings quantities required for each activity are estimated and work break down structure is done. By using the standard data book, the resources and time required to complete the activity is calculated. Then the cost of the project is calculated and MSP schedule is prepared. Observe the resource graphs, check for free slack using Gantt chart. Reduce the resources having peak demand from the available slack by levelling. Calculate the cost variation in the project after and before reducing the peak demand.

Repeat the same process for three different cases.
In Case-1 entire project was taken as a part
- From chainage 22.260 km to 40.768 km

In Case-2 the total project was divided into three stages
- From chainage 22.260 km to 31.00 km
- From chainage 31.00 km to 40.768 km

In Case-3 the total project was divided into three stages
- From chainage 22.260 km to 28.00 km
- From chainage 28.00 km to 34.00 km
- From chainage 34.00 km to 40.768 km

III. FLOW CHART SHOWING THE METHODOLOGY
IV. RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

The below tables are the result of our project similarly we have done for other two cases also.

4.1 Case-2
In CASE-2 the entire project is divided into 2 sections. The cost is calculated based on the resource allocations which are established from standard data book.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S.NO.</th>
<th>TASK NAME</th>
<th>UNIT</th>
<th>QUANTITY</th>
<th>DURATION</th>
<th>COST</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>From km 22.260-31.00 (section-1)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Road works B/S</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Possession &amp; access of site</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Clearing and grubbing</td>
<td>Hec</td>
<td>3.93/-</td>
<td>4 days</td>
<td>62297.2/-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Excavation</td>
<td>Cum</td>
<td>17698.5/-</td>
<td>49 days</td>
<td>1157481.9/-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Embankment</td>
<td>Cum</td>
<td>10619.1/-</td>
<td>106 days</td>
<td>1210577.4/-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>GSB</td>
<td>Cum</td>
<td>6992/-</td>
<td>23 days</td>
<td>14074896/-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Prime coat</td>
<td>Sq.m</td>
<td>83030/-</td>
<td>24 days</td>
<td>2739990/-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Wet mix macadam</td>
<td>Cum</td>
<td>7866/-</td>
<td>35 days</td>
<td>17556912/-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Tack coat</td>
<td>Sq.m</td>
<td>79534/-</td>
<td>22 days</td>
<td>954408/-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Bituminous macadam</td>
<td>Cum</td>
<td>3059/-</td>
<td>15 days</td>
<td>23168866/-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Semi-dense bituminous concrete</td>
<td>Cum</td>
<td>1835.4/-</td>
<td>9 days</td>
<td>17399592/-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>Earthen shoulders</td>
<td>Cum</td>
<td>3933/-</td>
<td>39 days</td>
<td>1006848/-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>From km 31.00-km 40.768 (section-2)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>Road works B/S</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>Possession &amp; access of site</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>Clearing and grubbing</td>
<td>Hec</td>
<td>4.39/-</td>
<td>4 days</td>
<td>68686.6/-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>Excavation</td>
<td>Cum</td>
<td>19780.2/-</td>
<td>55 days</td>
<td>1293625/-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>Embankment</td>
<td>Cum</td>
<td>11868.12/-</td>
<td>118 days</td>
<td>1352965.6/-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>Granular sub base</td>
<td>Cum</td>
<td>7814.4/-</td>
<td>26 days</td>
<td>15730387/-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>Prime coat</td>
<td>Sq.m</td>
<td>92796/-</td>
<td>26 days</td>
<td>3022668/-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>Wet mix macadam</td>
<td>Cum</td>
<td>8791.2/-</td>
<td>39 days</td>
<td>19621958.4/-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>Tack coat</td>
<td>Sq.m</td>
<td>88888.8/-</td>
<td>25 days</td>
<td>1066665/-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>Bituminous macadam</td>
<td>Cum</td>
<td>3418.8/-</td>
<td>16 days</td>
<td>25887932/-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>Semi-dense bituminous concrete</td>
<td>Cum</td>
<td>2051.28/-</td>
<td>10 days</td>
<td>19445376/-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>Earthen shoulders</td>
<td>Cum</td>
<td>4395.6/-</td>
<td>43 days</td>
<td>1125273/-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The total cost of the project is Rs.16,79,87,005 and duration of the project is 688 days. Resources are levelled after knowing the project cost and duration by using the available slack. The levelled resources are shown by using bar graphs in the following figures.
The total cost of the project is given by the formula
Total cost of project = (cost of project before levelling) - (total reduction in cost after levelling)
The cost calculation after levelling the resources is shown in the following Table 4.2

**Table 4.2 Cost of Project After Levelling of Resources (Case 2)**
By levelling the resources and this was done by taking three cases to compare the optimized results. In Case 1 the entire project was considered to be done in the same order of WBS without breaking it into parts and the cost incurred by the utilization of resources is calculated. In Case 2 the entire project was divided into two parts and the cost incurred by the utilization of resources is calculated. In Case 3 the entire project was divided into three parts and the cost incurred by the utilization of resources is calculated. By scheduling the project in three different types, three different time schedules are obtained and the respective resource allocations and the time schedule of the three cases the optimal resource utilization is identified by the cost comparison. From the project carried out the following are the conclusions drawn:

− By scheduling the project in three different types, three different time schedules are obtained and the respective resource allocations are also achieved.

− From the resource allocations and the time schedule of the three cases the optimal resource utilization is identified by the cost comparison.

− By performing the resource levelling the cost of the project has been reduced by Rs 9,27,474.72

− From the above analysis conducted, the optimal solution is Case 2 with the reduction of cost to Rs 9,27,474.72 where the total cost is Rs 16,70,59,530.3 which is the least cost of the three cases due to the reduction in duration.
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