# A class of efficient Ratio type estimators for the Estimation of Population Mean Using the auxilliary information in survey sampling

Mir Subzar, S. Maqbool and T. A. Raja

Division of Agricultural Statistics, SKUAST-Kashmir (190025) India.

**Abstract**: - Estimation of the population mean is the persistent issue in sampling practices and many efforts have been made by various statisticians to improve the precision of the estimates by using the auxilliary information. In this paper we proposed a class of efficient estimators for estimating the population mean in SRSW OR by using the auxilliary information of coefficient of skewness and population deciles of the auxilliary variable. The properties associated with the proposed estimators are analysed through MSE and bias. We also provide an empirical study for illustration and verification.

Keywords: Coefficient of Skewness; Population Deciles; Ratio-type estimators; Mean square error; Bias; Efficiency.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

The use of auxiliary information in survey sampling has its own eminent role both at design and estimation stage. It is well known that the use of auxiliary information at the estimation stage improves the precision of estimates of the population mean or total. Ratio, product and regression methods of estimation are good examples in this context. If the correlation between study variate y and the auxiliary variate x is positive (high), the ratio method of estimation envisaged by Cochran is used. So in this paper we also take the advantage of correlation between study variable and auxilliary variable and thus by proposing the ratio type estimators by using the auxiliary information of coefficient of skewness and population deciles of auxilliary variable.

Consider a finite population  $U = \{U_1, U_2, U_3, ..., U_N\}$  of N distinct and identifiable units. Let Y be the study variable with value  $Y_i$  measured of  $U_i$ , i = 1, 2, 3, ..., N giving a vector  $Y = \{Y_1, Y_2, Y_3, ..., Y_N\}$ . The objective is to estimate

population mean  $\overline{Y} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} Y_i$  on the basis of a random sample. When the population parameters of the auxiliary variable,

such as population mean, kurtosis, skewness, coefficient of variation, median, quartiles, correlation coefficient, deciles etc., are known, ratio estimators and their modifications are available in the literature which perform better than the usual sample mean under the simple random sampling without replacement (SRSW OR).

The notations used in this paper can be described as follows:

# NOMENCLATURE

# Romen

| Ν  | Population size                | n                           | Sample size                          |  |  |  |  |
|--|--------------------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| f = n/N  | Sampling fraction              | Y                           | Study variable                       |  |  |  |  |
| X  | Auxiliary variable             | $\overline{X},\overline{Y}$ | Population means                     |  |  |  |  |
| $\overline{x}, \overline{y}$   | Sample means                   | <i>x</i> , <i>y</i>         | Sample totals                        |  |  |  |  |
| $\boldsymbol{S}_x, \boldsymbol{S}_y$   | Population standard deviations | <b>S</b> <sub>xy</sub>      | Population covariance between        |  |  |  |  |
| $C_x, C_y$   | Coefficient of variation       | ho                          | Correlation coefficient              |  |  |  |  |
| <i>B</i> (.)   | Bias of the Estimator          | MSE(.                       | ) Mean square error of the estimator |  |  |  |  |
| $\hat{\overline{Y}}_i$ Existing modified ratio estimator of $\overline{Y}$ $\hat{\overline{Y}}_{pj}$ Proposed modified ratio estimator of $\overline{Y}$ |                                |                             |                                      |  |  |  |  |
| $D_k$ $k = 1, 2,, 10$ Deciles, $\beta_2$ Kurtosis, $\beta_1$ Skewness  |                                |                             |                                      |  |  |  |  |

# Subscript

*i* For existing estimators,

j For proposed estimators

Based on the above mentioned notations, the mean ratio estimator for estimating the

Population mean,  $\overline{Y}$ , of the study variable Y is defined as

$$\widehat{\overline{Y}}_r = \frac{y}{\overline{x}} \,\overline{X} \tag{1}$$

The bias, related constant and the mean squared error (MSE) of the ratio estimator are respectively given by

$$B(\hat{\bar{Y}}_{r}) = \frac{(1-f)}{n} \frac{1}{\bar{X}} (RS_{x}^{2} - \rho S_{x}S_{y}) \quad R = \frac{Y}{\bar{X}} \quad MSE(\hat{\bar{Y}}_{r}) = \frac{1-f}{n} (S_{y}^{2} + R^{2}S_{x}^{2} - 2R\rho S_{x}S_{y})$$

The ratio estimator given in (1) is used for improving the precision of the estimate of the population mean as compared to usual sample mean estimator whenever a positive correlation exists between the study variable and the auxiliary variable. Cochran (1940) suggested a classical ratio type estimator for the estimation of finite population mean using one auxiliary variable under simple random sampling scheme. Murthy (1967) proposed a product type estimator to estimate the population mean or total of study variable by using auxiliary information when coefficient of correlation is negative. Rao (1991) introduced difference type ratio estimator that outperforms conventional ratio and linear regression estimators. Upadhyaya & Singh (1999) modified ratio type estimators using coefficient of variation and coefficient of kurtosis of the auxiliary variate. Singh & Tailor (2003) proposed a family of estimators using known values of some parameters by using SRSWOR for estimation of population mean of the study variable. Sisodia & Dwivedi (1981) and Singh *et al.* (2004) utilized coefficient of variation of the auxiliary variate. Further improvements are achieved by introducing a large number of modified ratio estimators with the use of known coefficient of variation, kurtosis, skewness, median, coefficient of correlation, decile (see Subramani and Kumarpandiyan, 2012 a, b and c).

The organization of the rest of the article is as follows: Section 2 provides a description of the existing estimators. The s tructure of suggested modified linear regression type ratio estimator and the efficiency comparison of the suggested estimator with the existing estimators are presented in Section 3. Section 4 consists of an empirical study of proposed estimators. Finally, Section 5 summarizes the findings of the study.

#### 2. Existing Ratio Estimators

Kadilar and Cingi (2004) suggested ratio type estimators for the population mean in the simple random sampling using some known auxiliary information on coefficient of kurtosis and coefficient of variation. They showed that their suggested estimators are more efficient than traditional ratio estimator in the estimation of the population mean. Kadilar & Cingi (2004) estimators are given by

$$\begin{split} \widehat{\overline{Y}_1} &= \frac{\overline{\overline{y}} + b(\overline{\overline{X}} - \overline{x})}{\overline{x}} \overline{X}, \quad \widehat{\overline{Y}_2} = \frac{\overline{\overline{y}} + b(\overline{\overline{X}} - \overline{x})}{(\overline{x} + C_x)} (\overline{X} + C_x), \quad \widehat{\overline{Y}_3} = \frac{\overline{\overline{y}} + b(\overline{\overline{X}} - \overline{x})}{(\overline{x} + \beta_2)} (\overline{X} + \beta_2), \\ \widehat{\overline{Y}_4} &= \frac{\overline{\overline{y}} + b(\overline{\overline{X}} - \overline{x})}{(\overline{x}\beta_2 + C_x)} (\overline{\overline{X}}\beta_2 + C_x), \quad \widehat{\overline{Y}_5} = \frac{\overline{\overline{y}} + b(\overline{\overline{X}} - \overline{x})}{(\overline{x}C_x + \beta_2)} (\overline{\overline{X}}C_x + \beta_2), \end{split}$$

The biases, related constants and the MSE for Kadilar and Cingi (2004) estimators are respectively as follows:

$$\begin{split} B(\widehat{\bar{Y}_{1}}) &= \frac{(1-f)}{n} \frac{s_{x}^{2}}{\bar{Y}} R_{1}^{2}, \quad R_{1} = \frac{Y}{\bar{X}} \\ B(\widehat{\bar{Y}_{2}}) &= \frac{(1-f)}{n} \frac{s_{x}^{2}}{\bar{Y}} R_{2}^{2}, \quad R_{2} = \frac{\bar{Y}}{(\bar{X} + C_{x})} \\ B(\widehat{\bar{Y}_{2}}) &= \frac{(1-f)}{n} \frac{s_{x}^{2}}{\bar{Y}} R_{2}^{2}, \quad R_{2} = \frac{\bar{Y}}{(\bar{X} + C_{x})} \\ B(\widehat{\bar{Y}_{3}}) &= \frac{(1-f)}{n} \frac{s_{x}^{2}}{\bar{Y}} R_{3}^{2}, \quad R_{3} = \frac{\bar{Y}}{(\bar{X} + \beta_{2})} \\ B(\widehat{\bar{Y}_{3}}) &= \frac{(1-f)}{n} \frac{s_{x}^{2}}{\bar{Y}} R_{3}^{2}, \quad R_{3} = \frac{\bar{Y}}{(\bar{X} + \beta_{2})} \\ B(\widehat{\bar{Y}_{4}}) &= \frac{(1-f)}{n} \frac{s_{x}^{2}}{\bar{Y}} R_{4}^{2}, \quad R_{4} = \frac{\bar{Y}}{(\bar{X}\beta_{2} + C_{x})} \\ B(\widehat{\bar{Y}_{5}}) &= \frac{(1-f)}{n} \frac{s_{x}^{2}}{\bar{Y}} R_{5}^{2}, \quad R_{5} = \frac{\bar{Y}}{(\bar{X}C_{x} + C_{x})} \\ B(\widehat{\bar{Y}_{5}}) &= \frac{(1-f)}{n} (R_{5}^{2}S_{x}^{2} + S_{y}^{2}(1-\rho^{2})), \end{split}$$

Kadilar and Cingi (2006) developed some modified ratio estimators using known value of coefficient of correlation, kurtosis and coefficient of variation as follows:

$$\begin{split} \widehat{\overline{Y}}_{6} &= \frac{\overline{y} + b(\overline{X} - \overline{x})}{(\overline{x} + \rho)} (\overline{X} + \rho), \quad \widehat{\overline{Y}}_{7} &= \frac{\overline{y} + b(\overline{X} - \overline{x})}{(\overline{x}C_{x} + \rho)} (\overline{X}C_{x} + \rho), \quad \widehat{\overline{Y}}_{8} &= \frac{\overline{y} + b(\overline{X} - \overline{x})}{(\overline{x}\rho + C_{x})} (\overline{X}\rho + C_{x}), \\ \widehat{\overline{Y}}_{9} &= \frac{\overline{y} + b(\overline{X} - \overline{x})}{(\overline{x}\beta_{2} + \rho)} (\overline{X}\beta_{2} + \rho), \quad \widehat{\overline{Y}}_{10} &= \frac{\overline{y} + b(\overline{X} - \overline{x})}{(\overline{x}\rho + \beta_{2})} (\overline{X}\rho + \beta_{2}). \end{split}$$

The biases, related constants and the MSE for Kadilar and Cingi (2006) estimators are respectively given by

$$B(\widehat{\overline{Y}}_{6}) = \frac{(1-f)}{n} \frac{s_{x}^{2}}{\overline{Y}} R_{6}^{2}, \quad R_{6} = \frac{Y}{\overline{X} + \rho} \qquad MSE(\widehat{\overline{Y}}_{6}) = \frac{(1-f)}{n} (R_{6}^{2} S_{x}^{2} + S_{y}^{2} (1-\rho^{2})), \\ B(\widehat{\overline{Y}}_{7}) = \frac{(1-f)}{n} \frac{s_{x}^{2}}{\overline{Y}} R_{7}^{2}, \quad R_{7} = \frac{\overline{Y}C_{x}}{\overline{X}C_{x} + \rho} \qquad MSE(\widehat{\overline{Y}}_{7}) = \frac{(1-f)}{n} (R_{7}^{2} S_{x}^{2} + S_{y}^{2} (1-\rho^{2})), \\ B(\widehat{\overline{Y}}_{8}) = \frac{(1-f)}{n} \frac{s_{x}^{2}}{\overline{Y}} R_{8}^{2}, \quad R_{8} = \frac{\overline{Y}\rho}{\overline{X}\rho + C_{x}} \qquad MSE(\widehat{\overline{Y}}_{8}) = \frac{(1-f)}{n} (R_{8}^{2} S_{x}^{2} + S_{y}^{2} (1-\rho^{2})), \\ MSE(\widehat{\overline{Y}}_{8}) = \frac{(1-f)}{n} (R_{8}^{2} S_{x}^{2} + S_{y}^{2} (1-\rho^{2})), \end{cases}$$

$$B(\widehat{\overline{Y}}_{9}) = \frac{(1-f)}{n} \frac{s_{x}^{2}}{\overline{Y}} R_{9}^{2}, \quad R_{9} = \frac{\overline{Y}\beta_{2}}{\overline{X}\beta_{2} + \rho} \qquad MSE(\widehat{\overline{Y}}_{9}) = \frac{(1-f)}{n} (R_{9}^{2}S_{x}^{2} + S_{y}^{2}(1-\rho^{2})),$$

$$B(\widehat{\overline{Y}}_{10}) = \frac{(1-f)}{n} \frac{s_{x}^{2}}{\overline{Y}} R_{10}^{2}, \quad R_{10} = \frac{\overline{Y}\rho}{\overline{X}\rho + \beta_{2}} \qquad MSE(\widehat{\overline{Y}}_{10}) = \frac{(1-f)}{n} (R_{10}^{2}S_{x}^{2} + S_{y}^{2}(1-\rho^{2})).$$
Yan and Tian

(2010) proposed some modified ratio estimators using coefficient of skewness and kurtosis as follows:

$$\widehat{\overline{Y}}_{11} = \frac{\overline{y} + b(\overline{X} - \overline{x})}{(\overline{x} + \beta_1)} (\overline{X} + \beta_1), \qquad \widehat{\overline{Y}}_{12} = \frac{\overline{y} + b(\overline{X} - \overline{x})}{(\overline{x}\beta_1 + \beta_2)} (\overline{X}\beta_1 + \beta_2)$$

The biases, related constants and the MSE for Yan and Tian (2010) estimators are respectively given by

$$B(\widehat{\bar{Y}}_{11}) = \frac{(1-f)}{n} \frac{s_x^2}{\bar{Y}} R_{11}^2, \quad R_{11} = \frac{\bar{Y}}{\bar{X} + \beta_1} \qquad MSE(\widehat{\bar{Y}}_{11}) = \frac{(1-f)}{n} (R_{11}^2 S_x^2 + S_y^2 (1-\rho^2)).$$

$$B(\widehat{\bar{Y}}_{12}) = \frac{(1-f)}{n} \frac{s_x^2}{\bar{Y}} R_{12}^2, \quad R_{12} = \frac{\bar{Y}\beta_1}{\bar{X}\beta_1 + \beta_2} \qquad MSE(\widehat{\bar{Y}}_{12}) = \frac{(1-f)}{n} (R_{12}^2 S_x^2 + S_y^2 (1-\rho^2)).$$

$$MSE(\widehat{\bar{Y}}_{12}) = \frac{(1-f)}{n} (R_{12}^2 S_x^2 + S_y^2 (1-\rho^2)).$$

$$MSE(\widehat{\bar{Y}}_{12}) = \frac{(1-f)}{n} (R_{12}^2 S_x^2 + S_y^2 (1-\rho^2)).$$

$$MSE(\widehat{\bar{Y}}_{12}) = \frac{(1-f)}{n} (R_{12}^2 S_x^2 + S_y^2 (1-\rho^2)).$$

(2010) showed that the use of coefficient of skewness and coefficient of kurtosis, respectively, provides better estimates for the population mean in comparison to the usual ratio estimator and numerous existing estimators.

#### 3. Proposed Modified Ratio Estimator

Motivated by the mentioned estimators in Section 2, we propose new class of efficient ratio type estimators using the linear combination of coefficient of skewness and population deciles.

The proposed estimators is given below:

$$\begin{split} \widehat{\bar{Y}}_{p1} &= \frac{\overline{y} + b(\overline{X} - \overline{x})}{(\overline{x}\beta_1 + D_1)} (\overline{X}\beta_1 + D_1). & \widehat{\bar{Y}}_{p2} &= \frac{\overline{y} + b(\overline{X} - \overline{x})}{(\overline{x}\beta_1 + D_2)} (\overline{X}\beta_1 + D_2). \\ \widehat{\bar{Y}}_{p3} &= \frac{\overline{y} + b(\overline{X} - \overline{x})}{(\overline{x}\beta_1 + D_3)} (\overline{X}\beta_1 + D_3). & \widehat{\bar{Y}}_{p4} &= \frac{\overline{y} + b(\overline{X} - \overline{x})}{(\overline{x}\beta_1 + D_4)} (\overline{X}\beta_1 + D_4). \\ \widehat{\bar{Y}}_{p5} &= \frac{\overline{y} + b(\overline{X} - \overline{x})}{(\overline{x}\beta_1 + D_5)} (\overline{X}\beta_1 + D_5). & \widehat{\bar{Y}}_{p6} &= \frac{\overline{y} + b(\overline{X} - \overline{x})}{(\overline{x}\beta_1 + D_6)} (\overline{X}\beta_1 + D_6). \\ \widehat{\bar{Y}}_{p7} &= \frac{\overline{y} + b(\overline{X} - \overline{x})}{(\overline{x}\beta_1 + D_7)} (\overline{X}\beta_1 + D_7). & \widehat{\bar{Y}}_{p8} &= \frac{\overline{y} + b(\overline{X} - \overline{x})}{(\overline{x}\beta_1 + D_8)} (\overline{X}\beta_1 + D_8). \\ \widehat{\bar{Y}}_{p9} &= \frac{\overline{y} + b(\overline{X} - \overline{x})}{(\overline{x}\beta_1 + D_9)} (\overline{X}\beta_1 + D_9). & \widehat{\bar{Y}}_{p10} &= \frac{\overline{y} + b(\overline{X} - \overline{x})}{(\overline{x}\beta_1 + D_{10})} (\overline{X}\beta_1 + D_{10}). \end{split}$$

The bias, related constant and the MSE for the first proposed estimator can be obtained as follows: MSE of this estimator can be found using Taylor series method defined as

$$h(\bar{x},\bar{y}) \cong h(\bar{X},\bar{Y}) + \frac{\partial h(c,d)}{\partial c}|_{\bar{x},\bar{y}} (\bar{x}-\bar{X}) + \frac{\partial h(c,d)}{\partial d}|_{\bar{x},\bar{y}} (\bar{y}-\bar{Y})$$
(3.1)

Where  $h(\bar{x}, \bar{y}) = \hat{R}_{pj}$  and h(X, Y) = R.

As shown in Wolter (1985), (3.1) can be applied to the proposed estimators in order to obtain MSE equation as follows:

$$\begin{split} \hat{R}_{pj} - R &\cong \frac{\partial((\bar{y} + b(X - \bar{x}))/(\bar{x}\beta_1 + D_k))}{\partial \bar{x}} |_{\bar{x},\bar{y}} (\bar{x} - \bar{X}) + \frac{\partial((\bar{y} + b(X - \bar{x}))/(\bar{x}\beta_1 + D_k))}{\partial \bar{y}} |_{\bar{x},\bar{y}} (\bar{y} - \bar{Y}) \\ &\cong -\left(\frac{\bar{y}}{(\bar{x}\beta_1 + D_k)^2} + \frac{b(\bar{X}\beta_1 + D_k)}{(\bar{x}\beta_1 + D_k)^2}\right) |_{\bar{x},\bar{y}} (\bar{x} - \bar{X}) + \frac{1}{(\bar{x}\beta_1 + D_k)} |_{\bar{x},\bar{y}} (\bar{y} - \bar{Y}) \\ E(\hat{R}_{pj} - R)^2 &\cong \frac{(\bar{Y} + B(\bar{X}\beta_1 + D_k))^2}{(\bar{X}\beta_1 + D_k)^4} V(\bar{x}) - \frac{2(\bar{Y} + B(\bar{X}\beta_1 + D_k))}{(\bar{X}\beta_1 + D_k)^3} Cov(\bar{x}, \bar{y}) + \frac{1}{(\bar{X}\beta_1 + D_k)^2} V(\bar{y}) \\ &\cong \frac{1}{(\bar{X}\beta_1 + D_k)^2} \left\{ \frac{(\bar{Y} + B(\bar{X}\beta_1 + D_k))^2}{(\bar{X}\beta_1 + D_k)^2} V(\bar{x}) - \frac{2(\bar{Y} + B(\beta_1 + D_k))}{(\bar{X}\beta_1 + D_k)} Cov(\bar{x}, \bar{y}) + V(\bar{y}) \right\} \end{split}$$

 $B = \frac{s_{xy}}{s^2} = \frac{\rho s_x s_y}{s^2} = \frac{\rho s_y}{s}.$  Note Where that we omit the difference of (E(b) - B).  $MSE(\bar{y}_{pj}) = (\overline{X}\beta_1 + D_k)^2 E(\hat{R}_{pj} - R)^2 \cong \frac{(\overline{Y} + B(\overline{X}\beta_1 + D_k))^2}{(\overline{X}\beta_1 + D_k)^2} V(\bar{x}) - \frac{2(\overline{Y} + B(\overline{X}\beta_1 + D_k))}{(\overline{X}\beta_1 + D_k)} Cov(\bar{x}, \bar{y}) + V(\bar{y})$  $\cong \frac{\overline{Y}^2 + 2B(\overline{X}\beta_1 + D_k)\overline{Y} + B^2(\overline{X}\beta_1 + D_k)^2}{(\overline{X}\beta_1 + D_k)^2}V(\overline{x}) - \frac{2\overline{Y} + 2B(\overline{X}\beta_1 + D_k)}{(\overline{X}\beta_1 + D_k)}Cov(\overline{x}, \overline{y}) + V(\overline{y})$  $\cong \frac{(1-f)}{n} \left\{ \left( \frac{\overline{Y}^2}{(\overline{X}\beta_1 + D_1)^2} + \frac{2B\overline{Y}}{(\overline{X}\beta_1 + D_1)} + B^2 \right) S_x^2 - \left( \frac{2\overline{Y}}{(\overline{X}\beta_1 + D_1)} + 2B \right) S_{xy} + S_y^2 \right\}$  $\cong \frac{(1-f)}{(R^2 S_x^2 + 2BRS_x^2 + B^2 S_x^2 - 2RS_{xy} - 2BS_{xy} + S_y^2)}$  $MSE(\bar{y}_{pj}) \cong \frac{(1-f)}{n} (R^2 S_x^2 + 2R\rho S_x S_y + \rho^2 S_y^2 - 2R\rho S_x S_y - 2\rho^2 S_y^2 + S_y^2)$  $\cong \frac{(1-f)}{n} (R^2 S_x^2 - \rho^2 S_y^2 + S_y^2) \cong \frac{(1-f)}{n} (R^2 S_x^2 + S_y^2 (1-\rho^2))$ 

Similarly, the bias is obtained as

$$Bias(\bar{y}_{pj}) \cong \frac{(1-f)}{n} \frac{S_x^2}{\bar{Y}} R_j^2$$

Thus the bias and MSE of the proposed estimators is given below:

$$B(\widehat{\bar{Y}}_{pj}) = \frac{(1-f)}{n} \frac{s_x^2}{\bar{Y}} R_j^2, \quad R_{j1} = \frac{\bar{Y}\beta_1}{\bar{X}\beta_1 + D_k} \qquad MSE(\widehat{\bar{Y}}_{pj}) = \frac{(1-f)}{n} (R_j^2 S_x^2 + S_y^2 (1-\rho^2)),$$

Where j = 1, 2, ..., 10 and k = 1, 2, ..., 12.

#### 4. Efficiency Comparisons

#### 4.1. Comparisons with existing ratio estimators

From the expressions of the MSE of the proposed estimators and the existing estimators, we have derived the conditions for which the proposed estimators are more efficient than the existing modified ratio estimators as follows:

$$MSE(Y_{pj}) \le MSE(Y_{i}),$$

$$\frac{(1-f)}{n} (R_{pj}^{2}S_{x}^{2} + S_{y}^{2}(1-\rho^{2}) \le \frac{(1-f)}{n} (R_{i}^{2}S_{x}^{2} + S_{y}^{2}(1-\rho^{2})),$$

$$R_{pj}^{2}S_{x}^{2} \le R_{i}^{2}S_{x}^{2},$$

$$R_{pi} \le R_{i},$$

Where i = 1, 2, ..., 10 and i = 1, 2, ..., 12.

# 5. Empirical Study

The performances of the suggested ratio estimators are evaluated and compared with the usual ratio estimator and the mention ed ratio estimators in Section 2 by using natural Population.

The percentage relative efficiency (PREs) of the proposed estimators (p), with respective to the existing estimators (e), are computed as

$$PRE = \frac{MSE \, of \, Existing \, Estimator}{MSE \, of \, propoesd \, estimator} \times 100$$

The statistics of population taken from Singh and Chaudhary (1986) is given in table 1

Table 1 Parameters Population 1 Population 1 **Parameters** Population 1 Parameters  $S_{x}$ Ν  $D_{A}$ 34 150.2150  $C_r$ п  $D_{5}$ 20 0.7531  $\overline{Y}$  $\beta_2$  $D_6$ 856.4117 1.0445  $\overline{X}$  $D_{7}$ 199.4412  $\beta_1$ 1.1823

111.20

142.50

210.20

264.50

| ρ       | 0.4453   | $D_1$ | 60.60  | $D_8$    | 304.40 |
|---------|----------|-------|--------|----------|--------|
| $S_{y}$ | 733.1407 | $D_2$ | 83.00  | $D_9$    | 373.20 |
| $C_y$   | 0.8561   | $D_3$ | 102.70 | $D_{10}$ | 634.00 |

© 2017 IJEDR | Volume 5, Issue 2 | ISSN: 2321-9939

**Table 2:** The Statistical Analysis of the Estimators for this Population

| lators                        |          | Pop I  |          | lators                         | Pop I    |       |          |  |  |
|-------------------------------|----------|--------|----------|--------------------------------|----------|-------|----------|--|--|
| Estin                         | Constant | Bias   | MS E     | Estin                          | Constant | Bias  | MS E     |  |  |
| $\widehat{\overline{Y_1}}$    | 4.294    | 10.002 | 17437.65 | $\widehat{\overline{Y}}_{12}$  | 4.275    | 9.914 | 17362.26 |  |  |
| $\widehat{\overline{Y}_2}$    | 4.278    | 9.927  | 17373.31 | $\widehat{\overline{Y}}_{p1}$  | 3.416    | 6.330 | 14293.17 |  |  |
| $\widehat{\overline{Y}_{3}}$  | 4.272    | 9.898  | 17348.62 | $\widehat{\overline{Y}}_{p2}$  | 3.176    | 5.472 | 13558.08 |  |  |
| $\widehat{\overline{Y}_4}$    | 4.279    | 9.930  | 17376.04 | $\widehat{\overline{Y}}_{p3}$  | 2.991    | 4.853 | 13028.48 |  |  |
| $\widehat{\overline{Y}_{5}}$  | 4.264    | 9.865  | 17319.75 | $\widehat{\overline{Y}}_{p4}$  | 2.918    | 4.619 | 12827.33 |  |  |
| $\widehat{\overline{Y}_6}$    | 4.285    | 9.957  | 17399.52 | $\widehat{\overline{Y}}_{p5}$  | 2.676    | 3.886 | 12199.85 |  |  |
| $\widehat{\overline{Y_{7}}}$  | 4.281    | 9.943  | 17387.08 | $\widehat{\overline{Y}}_{p6}$  | 2.270    | 2.796 | 11266.17 |  |  |
| $\widehat{\overline{Y_8}}$    | 4.258    | 9.834  | 17294.19 | $\widehat{\overline{Y}}_{p7}$  | 2.024    | 2.222 | 10774.62 |  |  |
| $\widehat{\overline{Y_9}}$    | 4.285    | 9.960  | 17401.14 | $\widehat{ar{Y}}_{p8}$         | 1.874    | 1.906 | 10503.91 |  |  |
| $\widehat{\overline{Y}_{10}}$ | 4.244    | 9.771  | 17239.66 | $\widehat{ec{Y}}_{p9}$         | 1.663    | 1.499 | 10155.96 |  |  |
| $\widehat{\overline{Y}}_{11}$ | 4.269    | 9.885  | 17336.98 | $\widehat{\overline{Y}}_{p10}$ | 1.164    | 0.735 | 9501.029 |  |  |

 Table 3: PRE of the Proposed Estimators with the Estimators in Literature for this population.

|                               | $\widehat{ec{Y}}_{p1}$ | $\widehat{\overline{Y}}_{p2}$ | $\widehat{ec{Y}}_{p3}$ | $\widehat{ar{Y}}_{p4}$ | $\widehat{ar{Y}}_{p5}$ | $\widehat{ar{Y}}_{p6}$ | $\widehat{\overline{Y}}_{p7}$ | $\widehat{ar{Y}}_{p8}$ | $\widehat{ec{Y}}_{p9}$ | $\widehat{ar{Y}}_{p10}$ |
|-------------------------------|------------------------|-------------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|-------------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|-------------------------|
| $\widehat{\overline{Y_1}}$    | 121.999                | 128.614                       | 133.842                | 135.941                | 142.933                | 154.778                | 161.840                       | 166.011                | 171.698                | 183.534                 |
| $\widehat{\overline{Y}_2}$    | 121.549                | 128.139                       | 133.348                | 135.439                | 142.405                | 154.207                | 161.242                       | 165.398                | 171.065                | 182.857                 |
| $\widehat{\overline{Y}_{3}}$  | 121.377                | 127.957                       | 133.159                | 135.247                | 142.203                | 153.988                | 161.013                       | 165.163                | 170.822                | 182.597                 |
| $\widehat{\overline{Y}}_4$    | 121.568                | 128.160                       | 133.369                | 135.461                | 142.428                | 154.232                | 161.268                       | 165.424                | 171.092                | 182.885                 |
| $\widehat{\overline{Y}_{5}}$  | 121.175                | 127.744                       | 132.937                | 135.022                | 141.966                | 153.732                | 160.745                       | 164.888                | 170.537                | 182.293                 |
| $\widehat{\overline{Y_6}}$    | 121.733                | 128.333                       | 133.549                | 135.644                | 142.620                | 154.440                | 161.486                       | 165.648                | 171.323                | 183.133                 |
| $\widehat{\overline{Y}_{7}}$  | 121.646                | 128.241                       | 133.454                | 135.547                | 142.518                | 154.330                | 161.370                       | 165.529                | 171.200                | 183.002                 |
| $\widehat{\overline{Y_8}}$    | 120.996                | 127.556                       | 132.741                | 134.823                | 141.757                | 153.505                | 160.508                       | 164.645                | 170.286                | 182.024                 |
| $\widehat{\overline{Y_9}}$    | 121.744                | 128.345                       | 133.562                | 135.656                | 142.634                | 154.454                | 161.501                       | 165.663                | 171.339                | 183.150                 |
| $\widehat{\overline{Y}_{10}}$ | 120.614                | 127.154                       | 132.322                | 134.397                | 141.310                | 153.021                | 160.002                       | 164.126                | 169.749                | 181.450                 |
| $\widehat{\overline{Y}}_{11}$ | 121.295                | 127.871                       | 133.069                | 135.156                | 142.108                | 153.885                | 160.905                       | 165.052                | 170.707                | 182.474                 |
| $\widehat{\overline{Y}}_{12}$ | 121.472                | 128.058                       | 133.263                | 135.353                | 142.315                | 154.109                | 161.140                       | 165.293                | 170.956                | 182.740                 |

# Conclusion

From the above empirical study we reveal that by proposing the class of ratio type estimators in SRSWOR by using the population deciles and coefficient of skewness as auxilliary information are found more efficient than the existing estimators as their MSE and bias is lower than the existing estimators and hence we strongly recommend that our proposed estimators preferred over existing estimators for practical applications.

## References

Cochran, W. G. (1940). The Estimation of the Yields of the Cereal Experiments by Sampling for the Ratio of Grain to Total Produce. *The Journal of Agric Science*, 30. 262-275.

Kadilar, C. & Cingi, H. (2004), 'Ratio estimators in simple random sampling', Applied Mathematics and Computation 151, 893–902.

Kadilar, C. & Cingi, H. (2006), 'An improvement in estimating the population mean by using the correlation coefficient', Hacettepe Journal of Mathematics and Statistics 35(1), 103–109.

Murthy, M. (1967), Sampling Theory and Methods, 1 edn, Statistical Publishing Society, India.

Rao, T. J. (1991), 'On certain methods of improving ratio and regression estimators', Communications in Statistics-Theory and Methods 20(10), 3325–3340.

Singh, D. & Chaudhary, F. S. (1986), Theory and Analysis of Sample Survey Designs, 1 edn, New Age International Publisher, India.

Singh, H. P. & Tailor, R. (2003), 'Use of known correlation coefficient in estimating the finite population means', Statistics in Transition 6(4), 555–560.

Singh, H. P., Tailor, R., Tailor, R. & Kakran, M. (2004), 'An improved estimator of population mean using power transformation', Journal of the Indian Society of Agricultural Statistics 58(2), 223–230.

Sisodia, B. V. S. & Dwivedi, V. K. (1981), 'A modified ratio estimator using coefficient of variation of auxiliary variable', Journal of the Indian Society of Agricultural Statistics 33(1), 13–18.

Subramani, J. & Kumarapandiyan, G. (2012a), 'Estimation of population mean using co- efficient of variation and median of an auxiliary variable', International Journal of Probability and Statistics 1(4), 111–118.

Subramani, J. & Kumarapandiyan, G. (2012b), 'Estimation of population mean using known median and co-efficient of skewness', American Journal of Mathematics and Statistics 2(5), 101–107.

Subramani, J. & Kumarapandiyan, G. (2012c), 'Modified ratio estimators using known median and co-efficient of kurtosis', American Journal of Mathematics and Statistics 2(4), 95–100.

Upadhyaya, L. N. & Singh, H. (1999), 'Use of transformed auxiliary variable in estimating the finite population mean', Bio metrical Journal 41(5), 627–636.

Wolter K.M. (1985). Introduction to Variance Estimation, Springer-Verlag.

Yan, Z. & Tian, B. (2010), 'Ratio method to the mean estimation using coefficient of skewness of auxiliary variable', Information Computing and Applications 106, 103–110.

 $\left( \right)$